

S/N 10/628,271

PATENT
Confirmation No. 4721

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Sung-Tao LIN et al.	Examiner:	John Hanley
Serial No.:	10/628,271	Group Art Unit:	2856
Filed:	July 29, 2003	Docket No.:	21225-66335 (previously BHT-3111-349)
Title:	RING BODY AND SUPPORTING STRUCTURE OF VIBRATILE GYROSCOPE		

**DECLARATION OF JOHNSON CHANG IN CONNECTION WITH
RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Mail Stop: OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

July 21, 2008

Customer No. 24728

Dear Madam/Sir:

I, Johnson Chang, declare that:

1. I am a resident of Taipei, Taiwan, the Republic of China and President of Yuso International Patent & Trademark Office of Taiwan, which is a Taiwan firm specialized in intellectual property causes, (hereinafter "my firm"), and have personal knowledge of the matters described herein.
2. On July 28, 2003, on behalf of Industrial Technology Research Institute of Taiwan, the Assignee of Record of the Entire Interest of the present application, I sent an Order Letter to Bruce H. Troxell, of Troxell Law Office, at Suite 1404, 5205 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041, previous counsel of record for Applicant (hereinafter, "Troxell"), with a package containing the present application with related documents, and instructed

Troxell to file it in the U. S. Patent & Trademark Office (hereinafter, the "Office"). A true copy of the Order Letter is provided herewith as Exhibit 1. I am not qualified to practice in front of the Office, and my firm and I relied on Troxell, a registered U.S. patent attorney, to prosecute the patent application in the Office.

3. On July 29, 2003, the application was filed in the Office and assigned Ser. No. 10/628, 271.
4. On March 28, 2005, a first non-final Office action was mailed to Bruce H. Troxell, of Troxell Law Office, at Suite 1404, 5205 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041.
5. On April 12, 2005, Troxell sent me a reporting letter regarding the Office action and requested a payment of \$550 (\$500 if paid in advance) for his service of filing a response. A true copy of Troxell's reporting letter is provided herewith as Exhibit 2.
6. On May 16, 2005, I sent Troxell a letter informing him that my firm made an advance payment of \$500 for his service of filing a response and asking him to file the response before the due date. A true copy of my letter is provided herewith as Exhibit 3.
7. On June 22, 2005, I instructed my colleague C. S. Hung of my firm to send Troxell an email asking Troxell when we can review the response. Troxell replied by email on June 23, 2005 to apologize for the delay and indicating that he would provide us a proposed response June 27, 2005 for review. A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 4.
8. On July 11, 2005, a response/amendment was submitted to the Office on July 11, 2005, with an appropriate request for extension of time. Troxell issued an Invoice to my firm indicating that the Amendment was filed. A true copy of Troxell's Invoice is provided herewith as Exhibit 5.

9. A non-compliant Notice regarding the amendment was mailed to Troxell on September 9, 2005. As no response to the Notice was received, the application became abandoned on October 10, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed to Troxell on December 16, 2005.
10. Troxell did not inform Applicant of any of the developments listed in item 9. While believing that a proper amendment was timely filed and understanding that there was a growing, long waiting period in the Office for pending applications, Applicant was anxiously waiting for the Office's further communication.
11. On July 30, 2007, Ms. Candice Huang, a colleague of my firm, upon a regular inventory checking procedure of the records of the Office, discovered that the application was abandoned. Ms. Huang immediately sent an email to Troxell on July 30, 2007 inquiring about the status of the application, in which Ms. Huang was puzzled by the fact that Troxell filed a response July 11, 2005 yet the Office indicated the application was abandoned. Troxell responded by saying "[w]e will check and get back to you." A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 6.
12. Paragraphs 1-11 show Applicant reasonably diligently facilitated the prosecution of the present application and had no intention to cause, and did not cause, the delay in reply that originally resulted in the abandonment. In particular, the confusion shown in Ms. Huang's email identified in Paragraph 11 demonstrates that Applicant had no intention to cause, and did not cause, the delay in reply that originally resulted in the abandonment, and hence the delay in reply that originally resulted in the abandonment was unintentional.
13. On August 12, 2007, I instructed my colleague Mr. Roger Chen of my firm to send Troxell an email further inquiring about the status of the application (along with two other applications) and Troxell responded by saying "[w]e are checking on these cases and will report back to you as soon as possible." Mr. Chen specifically instructed Troxell

that “[a]ll of three cases above need to be revived, please advise the action we need to take and the estimated cost”. (Emphasis added.) A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 7.

14. On September 21, 2007, Mr. Chen, under my instruction, again sent a message to Troxell asking “Do you have any further information about the USSN: 10/628,271 & 10/732,265?”, where the USSN 10/628,271 represents the present application. A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 8.
15. On September 22, 2007, which is a Saturday, Troxell sent Mr. Chen an email, and I was copied, with a copy of the proposed response he would submit with the petition to revive and a copy of the corresponding office action. Mr. Chen and I reviewed the document Monday, September 24, 2007, and on September 25, 2007, Mr. Chen, under my instruction, informed Troxell by email that the “draft amendment of petition has been reviewed and confirmed, please file it in the USPTO in good time.” Troxell confirmed the instruction September 26, 2007. A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 9.
16. On October 18, 2007, I handwrote a message to Troxell asking “please confirm filed petition revive” and faxed it to Troxell (and John R. Guice, Jr., an attorney associated with Troxell). A true copy of the fax is provided herewith as Exhibit 10.
17. On November 2, 2007, Troxell sent Ms. Susan Hsu, a colleague of my firm an email, and I was copied, with a copy of the documents “submitted to the USPTO on Nov. 2, 2007 to revive this application.” A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 11.
18. Paragraphs 13-17 show Applicant reasonably diligently facilitated the prosecution of the present application and had no intention to cause, and did not cause, the delay in filing an initial petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the application. Hence the delay in

filing an initial petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the application, if any, was unintentional.

19. I instructed my colleagues to periodically check the website of the Office for the present application. On April 25, 2008, my staff downloaded the Decision on Petition and reported me Monday, April 28, 2008. Mr. Chen, under my instruction, informed Troxell by email that we downloaded the Decision on Petition from the USPTO's website and we had not received any messages from Troxell concerning the petition to revive. Mr. Chen loudly complained to Troxell that the service provided by Troxell was "INCREDIBLE and UNACCEPTABLE." Mr. Chen further stated that "we urgently ask you to prepare the new petition and do whatever you have to do to revive the case." A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 12.
20. On May 5, 2008, Mr. Chen, under my instruction, emailed Troxell, again, inquiring of the status of the petition and asking if Troxell was going to send us the new petition. That particular message informed Troxell that "we need to you send us the draft of the new petition (or your new idea) by May 9, 2008 for further action." A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 13.
21. On May 8, 2008, Troxell emailed Mr. Chen asking us to "accept my [Troxell's] apologies for the delay in our reponse. We are presently considering our options to present the strongest case" and told Mr. Chen that Troxell "will report further to you shortly". On May 13, 2008, five days later, after receiving no response from Troxell, Mr. Chen, under my instruction, emailed Troxell, again, inquiring of the status of the petition and asking Troxell "when we can have your first draft." A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 14.
22. On June 5, 2008, after receiving no response from Troxell, Mr. Chen, under my instruction, emailed Troxell to inform him that "because of the unsatisfication of the service provided in the above-identified case, the client now decide to invoke your

representative of the case, and therefore transfer the whole case to the new representative Morris, Manning & Martin, LLP (hereinafter "MMM"). The new representative will contact you soon for the transference and we thank for your help in our such long term business relationship." A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 15.

23. On June 6, 2008, Ms. Jane Smith, a legal assistant to Dr. Tim Tingkang Xia, a registered patent attorney and partner at MMM, emailed Troxell to transfer the application "in its entirety," as well as all electronic files to MMM pursuant to the email of Mr. Chen listed in item 22. Troxell responded to MMM's request on June 12, 2008, stating they were "reluctant to send original documents" and would cooperate if a revocation of power of attorney would be submitted to the USPTO removing Troxell as attorneys of record. A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 16.
24. On June 19, 2008, MMM, did in fact, submit a Revocation of Power of Attorney with New Power of Attorney and Change of Address to the USPTO. On June 26, 2008, the USPTO mailed a Notice of Acceptance of Power of Attorney to present counsel.
25. On July 1, 2008, Ms. Samantha Liao, a legal assistant to Dr. Xia, emailed Troxell, which was copied to me, asking Troxell to "kindly inform us when we can expect the documents for your ref#3111-349/YUSO ref#920247/our ref#21225-66335 from you. Per your request, the revocation Poa was sent to you by email on June 19,2008. We really appreciate your help if you can forward the documents to us immediately." A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 17.
26. On July 10, 2008, after failing to receive the physical files from Troxell for another week, Dr. Xia wrote a letter to Troxell, which was blank copied to me, asking Troxell to "to demonstrate your professionalism to transfer the physical file of the case to our firm so that we can prepare a proper response to avoid further and irreparable harm to the interest of my client." A true copy of Dr. Xia's letter is provided herewith as Exhibit 18.

27. On July 11, 2008, Troxell finally emailed the e-files of the present application to Jane Smith, legal assistant to Dr. Xia, and sent hard copies of the files by FedEx, which was received by MMM July 14, 2008. Smith forwarded the email with documents to Samantha Liao and Dr. Xia. I was informed personally by telephone by Ms. Samantha Liao, legal assistant to Dr. Xia, of these developments. A true copy of the relevant email communication is provided herewith as Exhibit 19.
28. I was further informed personally by telephone by Ms. Samantha Liao, legal assistant to Dr. Xia, that Dr. Xia has been diligently working on the present application and will file a renewed petition July 21, 2008, a week after the physical file was received from Troxell, in the Office.
29. Paragraphs 19-28 show Applicant and its new counsel diligently facilitated the prosecution of the present application and had no intention to cause, and did not cause, the delay in filing a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the application. Hence the delay in filing a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the application, if any, was unintentional.

30. The undersigned declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made upon information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date and Signature: Johnson Chang July 21, 2008.
Johnson Chang

Exhibit 1

YUSO INTERNATIONAL PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
2F, NO. 171, SUNGTEH ROAD, TAIPEI, TAIWAN, R.O.C.

TELEPHONE: (02)27688848
TELEFAX: (02)27688839
Johnson CHANG
Haven W. YIN
H.D. SU
JACK CHOU
LINDA LIN

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

\$940 Received 7/28/03

311-349

Date: _____

Your Ref: _____

Our Ref: 920247-IE1

ATTN: Mr. Bruce H. Troxell

Re: New U.S. Patent/Utility Model/Design Application in the name of
Due date of Priority: _____

INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY
RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Dear Sir:

We are happy to entrust to you the above application. Your assistance in this matter will be highly appreciated. The particulars of the subject application are itemized as follows:


JUL 28 2003

1.	Inventor (s)	Gwo-Shiang Lee; Sung-Tao Lin; Shih-Ping Lee; Ming-Wei Chang Han-Jou Li; Ming-Hsiu Hsu; Chin-Chung Nien
2.	Applicant (s)	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
3.	Address of Applicant (s)	No. 195, Sec. 4, ChungHsing Rd., Chutung Town, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.
4.	Residence of Applicant (s)	
5.	Title	Ring Body and Supporting-Structure of Vibratile Gyroscope
6.	Data enclosed herewith	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. Power of Attorney. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. Certificate of Corporation. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c. Patent Assignment. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> d. 7 set (s) of formal drawings. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e. Priority document (certified copy)-one copy. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> f. Verified statement (declaration) claiming small entity status. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> g. One copy of the English/Japanese specification of the application for your reference. (Please translate it into the officially accepted language, if necessary). <input type="checkbox"/> h. Others:
7.	About the specification	We offer one copy of the U. S./U. K./Japanese specification of patent/utility model/design application for your reference only. Please make the necessary correction or amendment to comply with your regulations or Law.
8.	Country of designated application	
9.	First filed Country	Country: _____ Filing date: _____ Serial/No: _____
10.	Priority Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Must be Claimed: Claiming the first Priority of USA/ _____ Patent/Utility Model/Design application; application No. _____ USA/ _____ Filing date: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No need to be claimed
11.	Due date of Application	<input type="checkbox"/> This application must be filed before _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> As soon as possible
12.	Data for client after filing	Please send us the following materials after you file the application: a. Two copies of _____ specification of formal application with Power of Attorney and drawings. b. Official receipt and notification of serial No. c. Debit note in duplicate. d. Other concerning documents.
13.	Examination Search Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Please make the examination request <input type="checkbox"/> Do not make the examination request at present <input type="checkbox"/> Please make the search request
14.	Remarks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please acknowledge receipt of this letter and enclosed documents by letter/telex/fax <input type="checkbox"/> Please give us % discount for your attorney's service charge. Thank you very much. <input type="checkbox"/>

Your sincerely,
YUSO INTERNATIONAL
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Johnson Chang
JOHNSON CHANG
President

Exhibit 2.



5205 LEESBURG PIKE
SUITE 1404
FALLS CHURCH, VIRGINIA 22041

BRUCE H. TROXELL

(703) 575-2711
FAX (703) 575-2707

JOHN R. GUICE JR. *

* MEMBER OF BARS OTHER THAN VA.

April 12, 2005

Mr. Johnson Chang
**YUSO INTERNATIONAL PATENT
& TRADEMARK OFFICE**
2 F., No. 171, Sungteh Road
Taipei, TAIWAN R.O.C.

Re: U.S. Patent Application - LEE
Applicant: Industrial Technology Research Institute
Serial No. 10/628,271
Title: RING BODY AND SUPPORT STRUCTURE
OF VIBRATILE GYROSCOPE
Your Ref.: 920247.IE1 Our Ref.: BHT/3111-349

Dear Mr. Chang:

Enclosed is a copy of an Office Action regarding the above-identified U.S. patent application. A response to this Office Action is due by **June 28, 2005**, although extensions of time until September 28, 2005 may be obtained upon payment of the requisite fees.

As you will note, our patent Examiner has objected to our claims only on formal grounds. We can attend to the correction of these informalities upon your authorization.

We estimate the cost of our services for preparing and filing an appropriate response to be \$550. The estimate does not include costs for any extensions of time that may be necessary.

We offer significant discounts to our clients who pay in advance. For this matter, we will reduce our fee to \$500 if your check for this amount accompanies your instructions. There will be no additional charges for our normal office expenses.

Please let us have your instructions in good time to meet the due date. As always, should you have any questions concerning any aspect of this matter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

With best regards.

Sincerely,

BHT/jlw
Enclosures

Bruce H. Troxell

Exhibit 3




宇州國際專利商標事務所
YUSO INT'L PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

■ 2F, 171, Sungteh Rd., Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C. TEL : 886-2-2759-8848
E-mail : yuso.tw@msa.hinet.net FAX : 886-2-2759-8839
■ Suite 3-15, Beijing Haowei Mansion, No.25,
Beitaipingzhuang Road, Beijing, P.R. CHINA

May 16, 2005
Fax No.: 1.703.575.2707
Confirmation via courier

Attn: Mr. Bruce H. Troxell
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404
Falls Church, Virginia 22041
U.S.A.



RE: U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271
Filing date: July 29, 2003
Response due date: June 28, 2005
Your ref.: BHT/3111-349
Our ref.: 920247.IE1


Dear Mr. Troxell,

Rec'd 5/19/05
Further to our e-mail instruction dated May 13, 2005 by C.S Hung, attached please find a cheque of USD500.00, Cheque No.019336, for advance payment in connection with the above-identified application for response to the Office action.

Please file the response before the due date and forward us a duplicate of the response together with your invoice, which has been paid, for our keep in file.

Thank you for your kind assistance. Kindly acknowledge safe receipt of this instruction and the original cheque via return e-mail or fax. Thank you.

Very truly yours,


Johnson Chang
President

/sh

Enclosure

Exhibit 4

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

From: "TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC" <bhtrox@earthlink.net>
To: "洪振盛[宇州]" <cshung@yuso.com.tw>
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2005 3:38 PM
Subject: Re: Instruction for USSN 10/628,271, Your Ref: BHT3111-349, Our Ref: 920247.IE1

Dear Mr. Hung:

We apologize for the delay in this matter. We will send the proposed response to you on Monday, June 27, 2005 (our time) and will assume the costs for an extension of time to give your client sufficient time to review the proposal.

Sincerely,
Bruce H. Troxell

----- Original Message -----

From: 洪振盛[宇州]
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2005 10:45 PM
Subject: Re: Instruction for USSN 10/628,271, Your Ref: BHT3111-349, Our Ref: 920247.IE1

Dear Mr. Troxell:

Per the applicant's request, please advise when the drafted amendment and response of the subject application can be reviewed? Thanks for your assistance.

C.S. Hung

----- Original Message -----

From: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
To: 洪振盛[宇州]
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 4:28 AM
Subject: Re: Instruction for USSN 10/628,271, Your Ref: BHT3111-349, Our Ref: 920247.IE1

INSTRUCTIONS RECEIVED ON MAY 13, 2005.

----- Original Message -----

From: 洪振盛[宇州]
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 3:03 AM
Subject: Instruction for USSN 10/628,271, Your Ref: BHT3111-349, Our Ref: 920247.IE1

Dear Mr. Troxell:

In response to your letter of April 12, please effect necessary corrections for all informalities in the subject application and forward the drafted response to us for review and confirmation before June 20, 2005. An advance payment of \$500 will follow.

6/23/2005

Exhibit 5



5205 LEESBURG PIKE
SUITE 1404
FALLS CHURCH, VIRGINIA 22041

BRUCE H. TROXELL

JOHN R. GUICE JR. *

* MEMBER OF BARS OTHER THAN VA.

(703) 575-2711
FAX (703) 575-2707

July 11, 2005

INVOICE
#T-24088

To: **YUSO INTERNATIONAL PATENT
& TRADEMARK OFFICE**
2 F., No. 171, Sungteh Road
Taipei
TAIWAN R.O.C.

Attn: Mr. Chen-Sheng Hung

Re: U.S. Patent Application — LEE
Applicant: Industrial Technology Research Institute
Serial No.: 10/628,271
Title: RING BODY AND SUPPORTING STRUCTURE OF VIBRATILE
GYROSCOPE
Your Ref.: 920247.IE1 Our Ref.: 3111-349

Services:

Studying patent application and Office Action and instructions;
preparing and filing Amendment; and report.

TOTAL SERVICES:	\$500.00
TOTAL AMOUNT:	<u>\$500.00</u>
LESS AMOUNT PAID:	<u>\$500.00</u>
BALANCE DUE:	- 0 -

JRG/jlw

Exhibit 6

Main Identity

From: "TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC" <Mail@troxlaw.com>
To: "Yuso-Candice" <Candice@yuso.com.tw>
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2007 7:45 AM
Subject: Re: URGNET! Update proceeding inquiry Our ref.: 920247.IE1 Your Ref.BHT-3111-349

Dear Ms. Huang,
We will check and get back to you.
Sincerely,
Bruce H. Troxell

----- Original Message -----

From: "Yuso-Candice" <Candice@yuso.com.tw>
To: "TROXELL" <Mail@troxlaw.com>
Cc: "張國英" <johnson@yuso.com.tw>
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2007 7:40 AM
Subject: URGNET ! Update proceeding inquiry Our ref.: 920247.IE1 Your Ref.BHT-3111-349

> Date: 2007-7-30
>
>
> Your Ref.: 920247.IE1
> Our Ref.:BHT-3111-349
> Application NO.:10/628,271
> Subject : Update condition inquiry
>
> Dear Sirs,
>
> We check the proceeding of this application on the USPTO official website.
> USPTO had issued an official action on 2005/3/28
>
> Official mailing date: March 28,2005
> response due date :June 28,2005
> deadline: September 28,2005
>
> we noted that you file an response on July 11,2005. But the USPTO
> abandoned this application on December 16,2005 because no reply was
> received?!
>
> Please chek this matter and reply us soon. Thank you .
>
>
>
> Sincerely,

7/30/2007

>
>
> Candice Huang
> Secretary of International Department
> YUSO International Patent & Trademark Office
> 2F, No. 171, Sungteh Rd., Taipei 110, Taiwan
> Tel: +886-2-2759-8848 Ext. 77
> Fax: +886-2-2759-8839
> candice@yuso.com.tw yuso.tw@msa.com.tw
> MSN: candice1223@hotmail.com
> SKYPE: candicecan
> (please add me to MSN and SKYPE to contact me in case of the urgent
> notice)
>

Exhibit 7

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

From: "TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC" <Mail@troxlaw.com>
To: "MR. ROGER CHEN - YUSO" <rchen99@ms34.hinet.net>
Sent: Monday, August 13, 2007 5:29 PM
Subject: Fw: Some cases with problems -- Three abandoned cases.

Dear Mr. Chen:

We are checking on these cases and will report back to you as soon as possible.

Sincerely,
Bruce H. Troxell

----- Original Message -----

From: Roger
To: Bruce Troxell -New-USA
Sent: Sunday, August 12, 2007 11:23 PM
Subject: Some cases with problems -- Three abandoned cases.

Dear Mr. Troxell,

In a regular inventory checking procedure, the client has some problems with the following cases and we need your advise.

(1). USSN:10/628,271 -- your ref.3111-349 -- our ref. 920247.IE1.

According to the record in our file, the status of this case is abandonment, and the reason is "Failure to respond to Office Action", but according to the client, they never have gave us any instruction of abandonment.

(2). USSN:10/732,265 -- your ref.3111-627 -- our ref. 920615.IE1.

Same as above, the status of this case is abandonment, and the reason is "Failure to respond to Office Action".

Please advise.

(3).USSN:11/132,220 -- your ref.3111-395 -- our ref. 930702US.IE1.

Also, the status of this case is abandonment, and the reason is "Failure to respond to Office Action".

All of three cases above need to be revived, please advise the action we need to take and the estimated cost.

Thanks.

Roger Chen

8/13/2007

Exhibit 8

Main Identity

From: "Roger" <rchen99@ms34.hinet.net>
To: "Bruce Troxell -New-USA" <Mail@troxlaw.com>
Cc: "YUSO(MSA)" <yuso.tw@msa.hinet.net>; "YUSO1_張總經理" <johnson@yuso.com.tw>
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2007 12:16 AM
Subject: Fw: Some cases with problems - Three abandoned cases.

Dear Mr.Troxell,

Do you have any further information about the USSN: 10/628,271 & 10/732,265 ?

Thanks.

Roger Chen
YUSO International Patent & Law Office
Tel : 886-2-2759-8848-73
Fax: 886-2-2759-8839
E-Mail: rchen99@ms34.hinet.net

----- Original Message -----

From: Roger
To: Bruce Troxell -New-USA
Sent: Monday, August 13, 2007 11:23 AM
Subject: Some cases with problems -- Three abandoned cases.

Dear Mr.Troxell,

In a regular inventory checking procedure, the client has some problems with the following cases and we need your advise.

(1). USSN: 10/628,271 --- your ref. 3111-349 --- our ref. 920247.IE1.

According to the record in our file, the status of this case is abandonment, and the reason is "Failure to respond to Office Action", but according to the client, they never have gave us any instruction of abandonment.

(2). USSN: 10/732,265 -- your ref. 3111-627 --- our ref. 920615.IE1.

Same as above, the status of this case is abandonment, and the reason is "Failure to respond to Office Action".

Please advise.

(3). USSN: 11/132,220 -- your ref. 3111-395 -- our ref. 930702US.IE1.

Also, the status of this case is abandonment, and the reason is "Failure to respond to Office Action".

All of three cases above need to be revived, please advise the action we need to take and the estimated cost.

Thanks.

9/21/2007

Exhibit 9

Main Identity

From: "Troxell Law Office PLLC" <Mail@troxlaw.com>
To: "Roger" <rchen99@ms34.hinet.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2007 6:22 AM
Subject: Re: USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349

Instructions to file response received with thanks.
Bruce H. Troxell

----- Original Message -----

From: Roger
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2007 10:21 PM
Subject: Re: USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349

Dear Mr. Troxell,

The draft amendment of petition has been reviewed and confirmed; please file it in USPTO in good time.

Thanks.

Roger Chen
YUSO International Patent & Law Office
Tel : 886-2-2759-8848-73
Fax: 886-2-2759-8839
E-Mail: rchen99@ms34.hinet.net

----- Original Message -----

From: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
To: MR. ROGER CHEN - YUSO
Cc: MR. JOHNSON CHANG - YUSO INTERNATIONAL
Sent: Saturday, September 22, 2007 5:26 AM
Subject: USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349

Dear Mr. Chen:

Attached are copies of a response that we propose to submit with the petition to revive this application, and a copy of the corresponding office action.

Please review the proposed response and let us have your instructions.

Sincerely,
Bruce H. Troxell

9/26/2007

Exhibit 10

johnson

寄件者: "Roger" <rchen99@ms34.hinet.net>
 收件者: "YUSOI 張總經理" <johnson@yuso.com.tw>; "YUSO(MSA)" <yuso.tw@msa.hinet.net>
 傳送日期: 2007年9月29日 下午 03:20
 主旨: Fw: USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349

----- Original Message -----

From: Roger
 To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
 Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2007 10:21 AM
 Subject: Re: USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349

Dear Mr. Troxell,

The draft amendment of petition has been reviewed and confirmed, please file it in USPTO in good time.

Thanks.

Roger Chen
 YUSO International Patent & Law Office
 Tel : 886-2-2759-8848-73
 Fax: 886-2-2759-8839
 E-Mail: rchen99@ms34.hinet.net

Please confirm filed

*Petition - ~~revived~~
 revive. TKS!*

Oct. 18, 2007

JOHNSON CHANG

----- Original Message -----


From: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
 To: MR. ROGER CHEN - YUSO
 Cc: MR. JOHNSON CHANG - YUSO INTERNATIONAL
 Sent: Saturday, September 22, 2007 5:26 AM
 Subject: USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349

Dear Mr. Chen:

Attached are copies of a response that we propose to submit with the petition to revive this application, and a copy of the corresponding office action.

Please review the proposed response and let us have your instructions.

Sincerely,
 Bruce H. Troxell



2007/10/18

Exhibit 11

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

From: "TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC" <Mail@troxlaw.com>
To: "MS: SUSAN HSU - YUSO INTERNATIONAL" <susan@yuso.com.tw>
Cc: "MR. JOHNSON CHANG - YUSO INTERNATIONAL" <yuso.tw@msa.hinet.net>
Sent: Friday, November 02, 2007 4:04 PM
Attach: 3111-349 Pet to Revive.pdf
Subject: USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349

Dear Ms. Hsu:

Attached are documents submitted to the USPTO on Nov. 2, 2007 to revive this application. We will keep you advised as soon as we receive any further information from the USPTO.

Please advise if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Bruce H. Troxell

11/2/2007

Exhibit 12

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

From: Roger [rchen99@ms34.hinet.net]
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2008 5:08 AM
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Cc: YUSO01_張總經理
Subject: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 - our ref.920247.IE1)
Attachments: 920247_USSN_10-628271_petition.pdf

Dear Mr.Troxell,

This is regarding the petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 - our ref.920247.IE1)

Attached please find the USPTO's reply to the above-identified petition, which was downloaded by our staff from the USPTO website last Friday.

As you may notice, the reply was issued on March 21, 2008 and the shortened period set up for it is only two months, however, we have not received any message from you concerning the status of the petition until our staff looking into the USPTO website, and the rest of time left for us to handle the case only about 3 weeks; such service being provided by you is INCREDIBLE and UNACCEPTABLE.

As you notice in the USPTO's reply, the petition has been dismissed for the statement of the revival was not strong enough, since this case is so important to our client, we urgently ask you to prepare the new petition and do whatever you have to do to revive the case.

If you need to prepare the new petition, please send it back by May 12, 2008 for client's review and confirmation, or you let us know, in any time, whatever you need from us to revive the case.

Thanks & best regards,

Roger Chen
YUSO International Patent & Trademark Office
Tel : 886-2-2759-8848
Fax : 886-2-2759-8839
E-mail : rchen99@ms34.hinet.net

4/28/2008

Exhibit 13

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

From: Roger [rchen99@ms34.hinet.net]
Sent: Monday, May 05, 2008 10:19 PM
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Cc: YUSO01_張總經理
Subject: Fw: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1)
Attachments: 920247_USSN_10-628271_petition.pdf

Dear Mr.Troxell,

So far, I have not received any message from you about the status of this case.

How is the status right now? Are you going to send us the new petition, or you have any other idea?

To reply the client's concern, we need you to send us the draft of the new petition (or your new idea) by May 09, 2008 for further action.

If you have any problem, you should let us know.

Thanks & best regards,

Roger Chen
YUSO International Patent & Trademark Office
Tel : 886-2-2759-8848
Fax : 886-2-2759-8839
E-mail : rchen99@ms34.hinet.net

----- Original Message -----

From: Roger
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Cc: YUSO01_張總經理
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2008 5:08 PM
Subject: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1)

Dear Mr.Troxell,

This is regarding the petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1)

Attached please find the USPTO's reply to the above-identified petition, which was downloaded by our staff from the USPTO website last Friday.

As you may notice, the reply was issued on March 21, 2008 and the shortened period set up for it is only two months, however, we have not received any message from you

5/6/2008

concerning the status of the petition until our staff looking into the USPTO website, and the rest of time left for us to handle the case only about 3 weeks; such service being provided by you is INCREDIBLE and UNACCEPTABLE.

As you notice in the USPTO's reply, the petition has been dismissed for the statement of the revival was not strong enough, since this case is so important to our client, we urgently ask you to prepare the new petition and do whatever you have to do to revive the case.

If you need to prepare the new petition, please send it back by May 12, 2008 for client's review and confirmation, or you let us know, in any time, whatever you need from us to revive the case.

Thanks & best regards,

Roger Chen
YUSO International Patent & Trademark Office
Tel : 886-2-2759-8848
Fax : 886-2-2759-8839
E-mail : rchen99@ms34.hinet.net

5/6/2008

Exhibit 14

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

From: Roger [rchen99@ms34.hinet.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2008 3:00 AM
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Cc: YUSO01_張總經理
Subject: Re: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1)

Dear Mr.Troxell,

The client is quering the status of the case again, please advise when we can have your first draft.

Thanks.

Roger Chen
YUSO International Patent & Trademark Office
Tel : 886-2-2759-8848
Fax : 886-2-2759-8839
E-mail : rchen99@ms34.hinet.net

----- Original Message -----

From: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
To: 'Roger'
Sent: Thursday, May 08, 2008 5:11 AM
Subject: RE: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1)

Dear Mr. Chen:

Please accept my apologies for the delay in our response. We are presently considering our options to present the strongest case. We note that the opinion mailed on 3/21/08 indicates that extensions of time may be obtained. If any extension is necessary we will assume the costs for the extension.

We will report further to you shortly.

Sincerely,
Bruce H. Troxell

From: Roger [mailto:rchen99@ms34.hinet.net]
Sent: Monday, May 05, 2008 10:19 PM
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Cc: YUSO01_????
Subject: Fw: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1)

Dear Mr.Troxell,

5/13/2008

Exhibit 15

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

From: Roger [rchen99@ms34.hinet.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 05, 2008 1:07 AM
To: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
Cc: ttx@mmmlaw.com; jxs@mmmlaw.com; sliao@mmmlaw.com; YUSO01_張總經理
Subject: The pending US patent application of USSN:10/628,271 -- your ref. 3111-349 -- our ref. 920247.

Dear Mr. Troxell,

This is regarding the pending US patent application of USSN:10/628,271 -- your ref. 3111-349 -- our ref. 920247.

Please be advised that, because of the unsatisfication of the service provided in the above-identified case, the client now decide to invoke your representative of the case, and therefore transfer the whole case to the new representative Morris, Manning & Martin, LLP.

The new representative will contact you soon for the transference and we thank for your help in our such long term business relationship.

Best regards,

Roger Chen
YUSO International Patent & Trademark Office
Tel : 886-2-2759-8848
Fax : 886-2-2759-8839
E-mail : rchen99@ms34.hinet.net

6/5/2008

Exhibit 16

Jane L. Smith

From: TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC [Mail@troxlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2008 3:35 PM
To: Jane L. Smith
Subject: RE: Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335

Dear Ms. Smith:

As we are still attorneys of record in this application, I am reluctant to send original documents. If you will submit a revocation of power of attorney removing us as attorneys of record, I will be happy to cooperate.

Please advise if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Bruce H. Troxell

From: Jane L. Smith [mailto:jxs@mmmlaw.com]
Sent: Friday, June 06, 2008 10:58 AM
To: mail@troxlaw.com
Cc: Tim Tingkang Xia; rchen99@ms34.hinet.net
Subject: Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335
Importance: High

Dear Mr. Troxell,

Pursuant to the recent email request of Mr. Roger Chen of YUSO International Patent & Trademark Office dated June 5, 2008, please transfer the above-referenced application in it's entirety to the following address:

**Tim Tingkang Xia, Esq.
Morris Manning & Martin LLP
3343 Peachtree Road, NE
1600 Atlanta Financial Center
Atlanta, GA 30326**

**In addition,
please forward all electronic files as well to: ttx@mmmlaw.com and jxs@n**

Please let us know if you have any questions.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Jane L. Smith
Legal Asst. to Tim Tingkang Xia, Esq.

6/16/2008

Morris Manning & Martin LLP
3343 Peachtree Road, NE
1600 Atlanta Financial Center
Atlanta, GA 30326
Direct: 404-495-3680
General: 404-233-7000
Fax: 404-365-9532
Email: jxs@mmmlaw.com

For information on Morris, Manning & Martin, LLP, please visit our Web site at <http://www.mmmlaw.com>.

This e-mail message and its attachments are for the sole use of the designated recipient(s). They may contain confidential information, legally privileged information or other information subject to legal restrictions. If you are not a designated recipient of this message, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this message or its attachments, notify the sender by replying to this message and delete or destroy all copies of this message and attachments in all media. Thank you.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the Treasury Department, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Exhibit 17

Tim Tingkang Xia

From: Samantha Liao
Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2008 6:23 PM
To: mail@troxlaw.com
Cc: Yuso-Candice; YUSO01_張總經理; Tim Tingkang Xia; Jane L. Smith
Subject: FW: TOP URGENT ! Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335
Importance: High

Dear Mr. Troxll,

Please kindly inform us when we can expect the documents for your ref#3111-349/YUSO ref#920247/our ref#21225-66335 from you. Per your request, the revocation Poa was sent to you by email on June 19, 2008.

We really appreciate your help if you can forward the documents to us immediately.

We are looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Best regards,

Samantha W. Liao 廖婉伶
亞洲事務特別助理
Asian Affairs Specialist
Morris, Manning & Martin, LLP 美瑞律師事務所
1600 Atlanta Financial Center
3343 Peachtree Road, NE
Atlanta, GA 30326
email: sliao@mmmlaw.com
Direct Dial: 1-404-495-3669
Fax: 1-404-365-9532

For information on Morris, Manning & Martin, LLP, please visit our Web site at <http://www.mmmlaw.com>.

This e-mail message and its attachments are for the sole use of the designated recipient(s). They may contain confidential information, legally privileged information or other information subject to legal restrictions. If you are not a designated recipient of this message, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this message or its attachments, notify the sender by replying to this message and delete or destroy all copies of this message and attachments in all media. Thank you.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the Treasury Department, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: Yuso-Candice [mailto:candice@yuso.com.tw]
Sent: Tuesday, July 01, 2008 12:59 PM
To: Samantha Liao
Cc: 31.??-???? ; Tim Tingkang Xia; Jane L. Smith
Subject: TOP URGENT ! Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335

Dear MR. Troxll

7/18/2008

Since the revocation Poa was sent to you by email on June 19,2008. The latest due date for response the Petition Decision is on **July 21, 2008**. We would like to ask you to send entirety document (also electronic files) of this application to MMM as soon as possible. We deeply appreciate your great help

Best regards,

Candice Huang
Secretary of International Department
YUSO International Patent & Trademark Office
2F, No. 171, Sungteh Rd., Taipei 110, Taiwan
Tel: +886-2-2759-8848 Ext. 77
Fax: +886-2-2759-8839
candice@yuso.com.tw yuso.tw@msa.hinet.net
MSN: candice1223@hotmail.com
SKYPE: candicecan
(please add me to MSN and SKYPE to contact me in case of the urgent notice)

7/18/2008

Exhibit 18



MORRIS, MANNING & MARTIN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

July 10, 2008

Urgent!

Via Email & FedEx Overnight (FedEx No. 7993 5092 2496)

Tim T. Xia
404-495-3678
txia@mmmlaw.com
www.mmmlaw.com

Mr. Bruce H. Troxell
Troxell Law Office PLLC
5205 Leesburg Pike Ste 1404
Falls Church, VA 22041

**RE: Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349
Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335**

Dear Bruce:

As indicated by the attached copy of the revocation of power of attorney filed in the USPTO in connection with the above-referenced case (Exhibit A), and client's instruction to transfer the case to our firm (Exhibit B), I am writing to you to request you to immediately transfer the physical file of the case to our firm, which in fact was promised by you in your email of June 12, 2008 to my assistant, Ms. Jane Smith (Exhibit C), but you have failed to deliver so far.

As you must have known and upon the information and belief provided by my client, who was then your client before the filing of the revocation of power of attorney, the above-case went to abandoned under your care and you failed to provide proper notice to the client. And your petition to revival was denied by the USPTO and the due date to respond to that decision is July 17, 2008. Therefore, time is of essence, and I thus ask you to demonstrate your professionalism to transfer the physical file of the case to our firm so that we can prepare a proper response to avoid further and irreparable harm to the interest of my client.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

MORRIS, MANNING & MARTIN, LLP

Tim Tingkang Xia, Ph.D.
Partner

TTX/sliao

Atlanta
404.233.7000

1600 Atlanta Financial Center
3343 Peachtree Road, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30326-1044
Fax: 404.365.9532

With offices in

Washington, D.C.
Charlotte, North Carolina









Exhibit 19










Tim Tingkang Xia

From: Jane L. Smith
Sent: Monday, July 14, 2008 1:56 PM
To: Marianne Arrington
Cc: Peter P. Zhou; Tim Tingkang Xia; Samantha Liao
Subject: FW: Emailing: 311-349 5-7-08 receipt of opinion recd.msg, 311-349 6-5-08 client will transfer case.msg, 3111-349 4-28-08 inst re dismissal of Pet to Revive.msg, 3111-349 5-5-08 inquiry re when will pet be filed.msg, 3111-349 5-13-08 plz send draft.msg, 3










Importance: High

Attachments: Re: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1); The pending US patent application of USSN:10/628,271 -- your ref.3111-349 -- our ref. 920247.; The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1); Fw: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1); Re: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1); Fw: The petition of the revival of USSN:10/628,271 (your ref.3111-349 -- our ref.920247.IE1); Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335; RE: Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335; RE: ? Revocation POA ?RE: Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335; ?Revocation POA ?RE: Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335; TOP URGENT ! Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335; 3111-349 09-09-05 OA.pdf; USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349; Re: USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349; USSN 10/628,271 YOUR REF: 920247.IE1 OUR REF: 3111-349; 3111-349 Inserts JRG 08-01-07.wpd; 3111-349 Pet to Revive.pdf; 3111-349-AMENDMENT01 proposed.wpd; 3111-349-AMENDMENT01-eot.wpd; 3111-349-AMENDMENT01-receipt.wpd; 3111-349-AMENDMENT02.doc; 3111-349-AMENDMENT02.wpd; 3111-349-AMENDMENT02-receipt.wpd; 3111-349-AMENDMENT invoice.wpd; 3111-349-AMENDMENT letter PROPOSED.wpd; 3111-349-AMENDMENT letter.wpd; 3111-349-ASSOCIATE AUTHORIZATION - John & Demian.wpd; 3111-349-OFFICE ACTION invoice.wpd; 3111-349-OFFICE ACTION letter.wpd; 3111-349-PETITION FOR REVIVAL - PTO FORM -retyped.wpd; 3111-349-PETITION FOR REVIVAL-cover.wpd; 3111-349-STATUS INQUIRY-01.wpd; 3111-349-STATUS INQUIRY-01-COVER.wpd; 3111-349-STATUS INQUIRY-01-receipt.wpd; 3111-349-SUB SPEC.wpd; Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/628,271 / Your Ref. 3111-349 / Client Ref. 920247 / Our Ref. 21225-66335

        
Re: The ion of the revipatent applic The pending The petition Fw: The of the revion of the revion of the revion of the rev. Patent ApplU.S. Patent Actio POA ?RE:

        
?Revocation TOP 3111-349 USSN 10/ Re: USSN 10/ USSN 10/ 3111-349 3111-349 Pet 3111-349-A
?RE: Transfe ! Transfer o-05 OA.pdf (1271 18,271 71 YOURts JRG 08-01-Revive.pdf (6MENT01 prop

        
3111-349-A 3111-349-A 3111-349-A 3111-349-A 3111-349-A 3111-349-A 3111-349-A 3111-349-A 3111-349-A
MENT01-eot.wMENT01-receMENT02.doc (1ENT02.wpd (MENT02-receIMENT Invoice.MENT letter PMENT letter.wTE AUTHORIZ

        
3111-349-OF 3111-349-OF 3111-349-PE 3111-349-PE 3111-349-ST 3111-349-ST 3111-349-ST 3111-349-SU Transfer of
ACTION Invo: ACTION lettON FOR REVIVN FOR REVIVINQUIRY-01.INQUIRY-01-I INQUIRY-01-PEC.wpd (35 i. Patent Appl

Per

our discussion...

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce H. Troxell [mailto:bhtroxell@troxlaw.com]

Sent: Friday, July 11, 2008 4:01 PM

To: Jane L. Smith

Subject: Emailing: 311-349 5-7-08 receipt of opinion recd.msg, 311-349 6-5-08 client will transfer case.msg, 311-349 4-28-08 inst re dismissal of Pet to Revive.msg, 311-349 5-5-08 inquiry re when will pet be filed.msg, 311-349 5-13-08 plz send draft.msg, 311-Importance: High

Dear Ms. Smith:

Attached are our e-files for USSSN 10/628,271. The hard copies were sent to you by FedEx today, 7/11/08 (tracking number is 7900 5055 8376). We apologize for the delay.

Please advise if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,
Bruce H. Troxell

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

311-349 5-7-08 receipt of opinion recd.msg
311-349 6-5-08 client will transfer case.msg
311-349 4-28-08 inst re dismissal of Pet to Revive.msg
311-349 5-5-08 inquiry re when will pet be filed.msg
311-349 5-13-08 plz send draft.msg
311-349 5-19-08 inst to send draft by 5-21.msg
311-349 6-6-08 plz forward field to Morris.msg
311-349 06-12-08 Ltr to Ms. Smith.msg
311-349 6-19-08 case has been transferred.msg
311-349 6-19-08 POA recd.msg
311-349 7-1-08 send docs.msg
311-349 09-09-05 OA.pdf
311-349 09-21-07 Ltr to Client re Prop Amdt.eml
311-349 09-24-07 email re need update on other cases.eml
311-349 11-02-07 Rept to Client.eml
311-349 Inserts JRG 08-01-07.wpd
311-349 Pet to Revive.pdf
311-349-AMENDMENT01 proposed.wpd
311-349-AMENDMENT01-eot.wpd
311-349-AMENDMENT01-receipt.wpd
311-349-AMENDMENT02.doc
311-349-AMENDMENT02.wpd
311-349-AMENDMENT02-receipt.wpd
311-349-AMENDMENT invoice.wpd
311-349-AMENDMENT letter PROPOSED.wpd
311-349-AMENDMENT letter.wpd
311-349-ASSOCIATE AUTHORIZATION - John & Demian.wpd 311-349-OFFICE ACTION invoice.wpd
311-349-OFFICE ACTION letter.wpd 311-349-PETITION FOR REVIVAL - PTO FORM -retyped.wpd
311-349-PETITION FOR REVIVAL-cover.wpd 311-349-STATUS INQUIRY-01.wpd 311-349-STATUS INQUIRY-01-COVER.wpd 311-349-STATUS INQUIRY-01-receipt.wpd 311-349-SUB SPEC.wpd Transfer of U.S. Patent Application No. 10628271 Your Ref. 7-11-08 email instructions to transfer case re 311-349 Client Ref. 920247 Our Ref. 21225-66335.msg

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.